scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN The role of neurotrophin genes involved in the vulnerability to gambling disorder

Neus Solé-Morata^{1,11}, Isabel Baenas^{1,2,3,11}, Mikel Etxandi¹, Roser Granero^{2,3,4}, Sonia V. Forcales⁵, Manel Gené⁶, Carme Barrot⁶, Mónica Gómez-Peña^{1,2}, José M. Menchón^{1,7,8,9}, Nicolás Ramoz^{9,10}, Philip Gorwood^{9,10}, Fernando Fernández-Aranda^{1,2,3,7} & Susana Jiménez-Murcia^{1,2,3,7}

Evidence about the involvement of genetic factors in the development of gambling disorder (GD) has been assessed. Among studies assessing heritability and biological vulnerability for GD, neurotrophin (NTF) genes have emerged as promising targets, since a growing literature showed a possible link between NTF and addiction-related disorders. Thus, we aimed to explore the role of NTF genes and GD with the hypothesis that some NTF gene polymorphisms could constitute biological risk factors. The sample included 166 patients with GD and 191 healthy controls. 36 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from NTFs (NGF, NGFR, NTRK1, BDNF, NTRK2, NTF3, NTRK3, NTF4, CNTF and CNTFR) were selected and genotyped. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) and haplotype constructions were analyzed, in relationship with the presence of GD. Finally, regulatory elements overlapping the identified SNPs variants associated with GD were searched. The between groups comparisons of allele frequencies indicated that 6 SNPs were potentially associated with GD. Single and multiplemarker analyses showed a strong association between both NTF3 and NTRK2 genes, and GD. The present study supports the involvement of the NTF family in the aetiopathogenesis of GD. An altered cross-regulation of different NTF members signalling pathways might be considered as a biological vulnerability factor for GD.

Gambling is an increasingly activity in our society, especially in online modality¹. Although many people gamble without undergoing health problems, some individuals develop gambling disorder (GD), leading to severe psychological, social and economic consequences². Among adults, lifetime prevalence of GD range between 0.02 and 2.0%³. Similar values have been reported in Europe, where the prevalence of GD fluctuates between 0.3 and 3.0%⁴⁻⁶. Gambling disorder was first recognized as a mental disorder by the American Psychiatric Association in the third edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-III)⁷. Although GD was initially classified as an impulse control-disorder (ICD), it shares similarities with substance addictions and it has been reclassified as an addictive disorder in the fifth edition of the DSM (DSM-5)⁸⁻¹⁰. Nevertheless, some researchers' opinion favors leaving GD in the ICD category¹¹.

Several studies showed that certain individuals may present an increased risk for GD: for example, male gender, lower socio-economic status, early gambling onset, high impulsivity and sensation seeking are some of

¹Department of Psychiatry, Bellvitge University Hospital, c/Feixa Llarga S/N, Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Spain. ²Psychoneurobiology of Eating and Addictive Behaviors Group, Neurosciences Program, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain. ³Ciber Physiopathology of Obesity and Nutrition (CIBERObn), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴Department of Psychobiology and Methodology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain. ⁵Serra Húnter Programme, Department of Pathology and Experimental Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Hospitalet de Llobregat 08907, Spain. ⁶Genetic Lab, Forensic and Legal Medicine Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷Department of Clinical Sciences, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Hospitalet del Llobregat, Spain. ⁸Ciber of Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain. ⁹Psychiatry and Mental Health Group, Neuroscience Program, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Hospitalet del Llobregat, Spain. ¹⁰Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris (IPNP), INSERM U1266, Team Vulnerability of Psychiatric and Addictive Disorders, Université de Paris, 75014 Paris, France. ¹¹These authors contributed equally: Neus Solé-Morata and Isabel Baenas. ^{III}email: sjimenez@bellvitgehospital.cat

the factors that have been repeatedly associated with GD¹². Although the aetiology of GD is not fully understood, it has to be seen as a complex interrelation between psychological, social and biological factors¹³. Over the last years, multiple aetiological models for GD have been proposed, such as the cognitive-behavioural model¹⁴ or the biopsychosocial model¹⁵. One of the most prominent model, the three pathways model of GD, recognized the multidimensional nature of the disorder and claimed that pathological gamblers form an heterogenous population¹⁶. This model suggested the existence of three different groups of individuals with GD (behaviourally conditioned, emotionally vulnerable and antisocial impulsivist), with each group exhibiting its own gambling-related motivations. For example, individuals in the antisocial impulsivist group tend to gamble for positive-reinforcement motivations (such as sensation-seeking)^{16,17}.

From a neurobiological perspective, several studies have described similarities between neurochemical profiles and brain structures among patients with substance-related addictive disorders and behavioural addictions^{18,19}. For instance, neuroimaging studies have suggested that abnormalities in the ventral striatum could contribute to impulsive behaviours in individuals with GD^{20–22}. Similarly, coincidences regarding the neurobiological mechanisms (such as neurotransmitters) underlying impulsivity, compulsivity, reward/withdrawal and decision making have also been described¹¹. For example, a key mechanism involved in motivation and reward processing is the dopaminergic system²³. Indeed, increased dopamine transmission in the dorsal striatum has also been linked to severity of problem gambling²⁴. Molecular genetic studies of GD applying candidate gene approach have also suggested the possible involvement of dopaminergic (such as DRD1, DRD2 and DRD4) and serotonergic (such as SLC6A4, MAOA and MAOB) genes in conferring vulnerability for GD^{18,25}.

Recently, Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) have made a considerable progress towards an understanding of many complex diseases²⁶. However, to date, only two GWAS of GD have been reported. First, Lind et al.²⁷ performed a GWAS for disordered gambling using a quantitative factor score in 1312 twins from 894 Australian families. Despite no single-nucleotide polymorphism was associated with the disorder, using a lessstringent threshold the authors reported six variants in three genes (MT1X, ATXN1 and VLDLR) associated with GD, that had been previously linked to addiction and other psychiatric disorders²⁷. A few years later, Lang et al.²⁸ conducted the first and only case–control GWAS of GD, with all cases being assigned a diagnosis of GD. Despite this study did not identify genome-wide significant regions for GD, an association between a polygenic risk score for alcoholism and severity of problem gambling was found, supporting a link between alcohol use and GD²⁸. Finally, a recent study has examined the relationship between a Parkinson's disease (PD) polygenic risk score (PRS) and impulse control disorders (ICDs) in PD. Although the results showed no association between the 90 SNPs analyzed and the prevalence of ICDs, a younger disease onset was associated with both higher PRS and ICD prevalence²⁹. That being said, genetic studies using larger sample sizes are needed. Furthermore, global *omic* approaches that interrogate epigenetic differences could complement genetic studies, linking both events to the identification of novel altered gene regulatory mechanisms implicated in GD.

Furthermore, because of their involvement in the regulation of activity-dependent neuronal function and synaptic plasticity, neurotrophic factors (NTF) have been linked with the pathophysiology of several neuropsychiatric disorders, such as addictions^{30–33}. The NTF family comprises four related proteins, namely nerve growth factor (NGFB), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophins 3 and 4/5 (NTF3 and NTF4/5). The effects of NTFs are mediated by high affinity tyrosine kinase receptors (NTRK), each preferentially activated by one or more NTF: NTRK1 by NGFB, NTRK2 by BDNF and NTF4/5, and NTRK3 by NTF3. Moreover, a low-affinity pan-NTF receptor (p75), a member of the tumoral necrosis factor family, forms a complex with other NTF receptors and modulates its signal transduction^{34,35}.

In particular, BDNF and its genes constitute one of the most studied elements among addiction-related disorders^{30,32,33}. It has been suggested that drugs of abuse induce neuroadaptative processes in the brain, and these changes involve regulation of BDNF activity³⁰. However, the exact role of BDNF and other NTFs on the aetiopathogenesis of addictive disorders is still unknown. In the case of GD, only a few studies have examined its association with NTFs, which reported an increase of mean serum BDNF levels in patients with GD compared to healthy controls, suggesting a link between BDNF levels and GD severity^{36–39}. Therefore, as described in other addictions, the link between NTFs and GD could be a mutual process: the addictive behaviour might affect the expression of NTFs and NTFs can modulate the addictive behaviour³⁰. Nevertheless, further work needs to be performed to establish the exact role of these genes on the GD clinical profile.

Therefore, the main aim of the present study was to explore the genetic relationship between NTF and GD. We hypothesized that some NTF genes polymorphisms could constitute potential biological risk factors due to their implication in the onset and progression of GD. Hence, we selected informative polymorphisms spanning the entire loci of p75 (NGFR), NGFB, NTRK1, BDNF, NTRK2, NTF3, NTRK3, NTF4, CNTF and CNTFR. Then, we analysed the variation within each gene comparing a clinical group of patients with GD and a group of healthy controls.

Results

Single markers of GD. Starting from the 158 SNPs measured in the study, a total of 36 SNPs were preselected for the haplotype analysis based on its capacity to discriminate the presence of GD in univariate tests (χ^2) or multivariate tests (stepwise logistic regression). This initial selection was done considering different genetic models for each SNP (codominant, dominant, recessive, overdominant and log-additive), and a SNP was selected for the analysis in Haploview when *p* < 0.05 was obtained in any of the analyses.

Table S1 (supplementary material) includes the basic information for the 36 SNPs selected in this study (chromosome, gene, and allele), as well as the results obtained in Haploview regarding the conformance with HWE, the distribution of the allele frequency into the groups and the association tests for single markers. All the HWE tests achieved p > 0.05 in our study. The comparison of the allele frequency distribution between the



Figure 1. Single markers (first panel) and haplotype blocks (second panel) associated to the presence of GD. Adjusted p-values for correcting increase in Type-I error due to multiple null-hypothesis tests.



Figure 2. Single nucleotide polymorphism under different genetic models achieving risk for the presence of GD.

groups indicated that the 6 SNPs were potentially associated with the presence of GD (see first panel of Fig. 1): rs6489630 (T allele), rs7956189 (G allele), rs3763614 (C allele), rs11140783 (C allele), rs3739570 (C allele) and rs10908521 (C allele).

Figure 2 shows the results to assess the link between the 6 SNPs associated to the presence of GD according to different genetic models (also see supplementary Table S2, which includes the complete frequency distribution and the p-values obtained in logistic regression models adjusted by the covariates sex, age, education and employment status). These analyses confirmed that 4 single SNPs were significantly related to GD (p < 0.05) with an increase in the risk of GD for: (a) rs796189, the presence of genotype "AG/GG" (dominant model) and "AG" (overdominant model) and; (b) rs3763614, the presence of genotype "CC" (codominant and dominant models) and genotype "CC/TT" (overdominant model); (c) rs11140783, the presence of genotype "CC" (codominant model); and d) rs3739570 the presence of genotype "CC" (dominant model) and "CC/TT" (overdominant model). Quasi-significant results (p < 0.10) were achieved for the SNPs rs6489630 (dominant model with genotype "CC").

Haplotype analysis. Six LD blocks were identified in the study (the upper part of Fig. 3 shows the haplotypes block map). Block 1 included SNPs rs6489630 and rs7956189 in NTF3 gene, and haplotype "TG" was significantly related to an increase in the risk of GD (p=0.045) (Table S3 and Fig. 3). Block 3 included the SNPs rs4412435, rs10868241, and rs4361832 in NTRK2 gene, and haplotype CAG (p=0.048) was related to a decreased risk of GD. Other SNPs included in the blocks were: rs2274592 and rs4363285 (inside block 2) among CNTFR gene, rs12000011 and rs1948308 (inside block 4) in NTRK2 gene, rs211765, rs11638486 and rs1435403 (inside block 5), and rs922232 and rs2009853 (inside block 6), both in NTRK3 gene. No significant haplotype in the blocks 2, 4, 5 and 6 were significantly related to an increase/decrease in the risk of GD.

The lower part of Fig. 3 contains the transition scheme of haplotypes. The lines in the transition image show the movement from one block to the next. The frequency corresponds to the thickness of the line (connections



Figure 3. Haplotype block map. The upper part of the figure shows the haplotypes block map and the lower part contains the transition scheme of haplotypes. The lines in the transition image show the movement from one block to the next. The frequency corresponds to the thickness of the line (connections with thin lines if higher than 1% and with thick lines if higher than 10%), and the D' coefficient measures the degree of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the blocks.



Figure 4. Haplotype block map of block 7 (defined manually).

with thin lines if higher than 1% and with thick lines if higher than 10%), and the *D*' coefficient measures the degree of LD between the blocks.

Finally, a new LD block was manually defined by the SNPs rs11140783 and rs3739570 (Table S3 and Fig. 4) among NTRK2 gene, showing a significant association of haplotype CC with an increase in the risk of GD (p = 0.012).

Overlap with putative regulatory regions. Most of the genetic variants pre-selected for the haplotype analysis are located at non-coding regions of the human genome, with predominant sites being introns (see Table 1). Gene regulatory regions, such as enhancers and promoters are usually located upstream of genes and in 5'UTRs. However intron-bearing transcriptional factors (TF) binding sites have also been revealed by several studies⁴⁰. Moreover, chromatin capture data shows that distant genomic loci, which can be kilobases, and megabases apart, interact to regulate gene transcription and to maintain genomic boundaries, which in turn sustain a particular chromatin architecture. Therefore, an association of these SNPs with regulatory regions or three-dimensional (3D) contact loci could uncover a functional task for these variants, ranging from transcriptional control, mRNA stability to chromatin dynamics. It reveals an unprecedented impact in GD pathophysiology.

First, we performed functional annotation of genetic variants based on ENCODE project data. Among the six SNPs that showed a significant p-value and thus appeared to be potentially associated with the presence of GD, two of them were associated with enhancers: rs6489630 at chromosome 12 that overlaps with a proximal enhancer-like signature downstream of NTF3 gene, and the intron variant rs11140783 at NTRK2 that is adjacent to another enhancer-like domain (see Table 1). However, four out of thirty non-significant SNPs were also associated with enhance-like landscapes (rs12273363, rs741073, rs4412435 and rs1800601 (Table 1).

By using JASPAR CORE, we identified several SNPs that overlap core consensus sequences for TF, and thus they could disrupt their binding or change their binding affinity (see Table 1). It is important to mention that rs7956189 located downstream of NTF3 gene overlaps the binding site of WT1, which has been associated with depressive-like behaviours in mice⁴¹. Another significant variant, rs3763614, in an intron of CNTFR, is placed in the binding site of NR5a2. This is a factor upregulated in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus⁴². Rs11140783 located in an NTRK2 intron, could disrupt IRF8 binding, which has been proposed as a susceptibility factor for multiple sclerosis⁴³ (see Table 1). Two significant variants rs3739570 (at 3'UTR of NTRK2) and rs10908521 (at an NTRK1 intron) did not show any association with regulatory regions or TF binding sequence consensus.

SNP	Gene	Genomic region	ENCODE Cis regulatory elements (CREs)	TF binding sites (JASPAR)	
rs6332	NTF3	Synonymous variant	-	-	
rs6489630	NTF3	Downstream gene variant	pELS (EH38E1589222)	-	
rs7956189	NTF3	Downstream gene variant	-	WT1	
rs12273363	BDNF	Upstream gene variant	pELS (EH38E1528854)	YY1	
rs908867	BDNF	Upstream gene variant	-	-	
rs1491850	BDNF	Intron variant	-	-	
rs2274592	CNTFR	Intron variant	-	ZNF740, WT1, CTCF, Znf281	
rs4363285	CNTFR	Intron variant	-	ELF3, SPIB, ELF1, EHF, CTCF	
rs10814123	CNTFR	Intron variant	-	HFN1A, BARX2	
rs3763614	CNTFR	Intron variant	-	Nr5a2	
rs657770	NGFR	Intron variant	-	-	
rs534561	NGFR (P75)	Intron variant	-	MZF1(var.2)	
rs741073	NGFR (P75)	3 prime UTR variant	adjacent to a dELS (EH38E1868997)	KLF9, MSANTD3	
rs11140783	NTRK2	Intron variant	Adjacent to a dELS (EH38E2702614)	IRF8, IRF4	
rs1545285	NTRK2	Intron variant	-	Dmbx1, OTX2	
rs4412435	NTRK2	Intron variant	dELS (EH38E2702692)	BACH2, NFE2L1, MAFK, JUN (var.2), MAF::NFE2, Smad2::Smad3	
rs10868241	NTRK2	Intron variant	-	Prmd15	
rs4361832	NTRK2	Intron variant	-	NFIA, MSGN1	
rs12000011	NTRK2	Intron variant	-	WTF1, Gfi1b	
rs1948308	NTRK2	Intron variant	-	HIC2, Nkx3-1	
rs3739570	NTRK2	3 prime UTR variant	-	-	
rs10780695	NTRK2	Downstream gene variant	-	Stat2, HOXC13	
rs2117655	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	SOX15, SOX8	
rs11638486	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	-	
rs1435403	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	Arid5a	
rs922232	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	HOXC13	
rs2009853	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	-	
rs1461210	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	-	
rs1346164	NTRK3	Intron variant	-	GFI1, Gfi1b	
rs744993	NTRK3-AS1	Upstream gene variant	-	ZFP42	
rs6328	NFG-AS1	Intron variant	-	INSM1	
rs6537860	NFG-AS1	Intron variant	-	ZKSCAN5	
rs1800601	NTRK1	5 prime UTR variant	Prom (EH38E1388452)	-	
rs1998977	NTRK1	Intron variant	-	DMRTC2	
rs10908521	NTRK1	Intron variant	-	-	
rs1800879	NTRK1	Intron variant	-	RELB	

Table 1. Genomic annotation of variants.

Additionally, several non-significant variants overlaped with a variety of core TF binding sequences. Among them, stand out two SNPs that were associated with TF related to neuronal tissue. One is rs154528, another intron variant of NTRK2 which resides in the OTX2 binding site, a TF related with dopaminergic neurogenesis⁴⁴. Importantly, genetic alterations in dopamine receptors 1 (DRD1) have been associated with disordered gambling⁴⁵.

Finally, rs1435403 overlaps the binding site of the Arid5a transcription factor, which has been associated with schizophrenia⁴⁶. Hi-C and micro-C data revealed interactions between different loci at the NTF3 gene region that expanded through the last exon and the 3' UTR region. Intriguingly, the three GD-associated NTF3 SNPs (rs6332, rs6489630, rs7956189) showed interactions among them (see Fig. S1a at supplementary material). Similarly, rs3739570 and rs10780695 on the NTRK2 gene could also be interacting in the nucleus (see Fig. S1b at sup-

Discussion

plementary material).

The main aim of this study was to analyse the association between NTF genes and GD, as potential biological risk factors implicated in the aetiopathogenesis of GD. For this purpose, a strategy of pathway-based candidate genes was performed.

Both single and multiple-marker analysis showed a strong association between NTF3 and GD with a heterozygous disadvantage model of inheritance. NTF3 prevents the death of adult central noradrenergic neurons, promotes survival of ventral mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons, cerebellar granule neurons and Purkinje cells, and acts on sensory or sympathetic neurons of the dorsal root, nodose and sympathetic ganglia⁴⁷. Moreover, NTF3 has been associated with affective disorders⁴⁸ and schizophrenia⁴⁹ and, as reported by Mercader, Saus et al.⁵⁰ NTRK3 appears to be the gene with more association signals with eating disorders (EDs). In addition, enhanced neurogenesis caused by dietary restriction has been accompanied by increased NTF3 and BDNF levels⁵¹.

These results are worth noting because several studies have found that ED frequently co-occur with GD^{52,53} and have many commonalities considering psychological and clinical characteristics, such as personality traits and emotion regulation processes^{39,54-56}. In particular, certain subtypes of EDs, such as bulimia nervosa (BN) or binge eating disorder (BED) have been associated with high levels of impulsivity^{55,56}. Therefore, our findings may present an interesting unexplored genetic pathway underlying these disorders.

Comparison of the allele frequency distribution between groups indicated that the presence of G allele in NTF3 rs796189 is potentially associated with GD. Although this variant was located at a non-coding region, functional annotation based on ENCODE data showed that it is placed in a proximal enhancer-like signature, downstream of NTF3 gene. Transcriptional enhancer elements are non-coding stretches of DNA that have a central role in regulating transcriptional activity^{57,58}. Interestingly, non-coding SNPs, that have been associated with risk for numerous common diseases through GWAS, frequently lie in these genomic elements, probably affecting their function⁵⁹. Therefore, additional research is needed to delineate the impact of these variants on GD aetiology to enhance diagnosis, prevention strategies and treatment approaches.

By using JASPAR CORE we found that rs7956189 also overlapped the binding site of WT1, a TF associated with depressive-like behaviour in mice⁴¹. As proposed, the presence of a sequence variant within a binding site may alter the binding of the TF. As a consequence, gene expression, DNA methylation, and chromatin states would be altered too⁶⁰. Although this variant did not imply a change in the coding sequences of NTF3, it appeared to be associated with changes in genomic function. Further epigenetic analysis, such as WT1 chromatin immunoprecipitation, is needed to understand its functional impact better. Haplotype analysis revealed an increased risk of GD in individuals carrying the G allele for rs7956189 who also carried the T allele of rs6489630 in NTF3 gene. Curiously, the T allele of rs6489630 has been recently associated with significantly lower intelligence scores than C allele carriers in children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)⁶¹. However, a recent study suggested a protective role of this allele against Alzheimer disease⁶². Thus, it has been proposed that the rs6489630 polymorphism might have age-related influences.

Interestingly, some authors described ADHD in childhood as a risk factor for the development of addictive behaviours⁶³, including GD⁶⁴. In addition, the association between ADHD and GD is noteworthy with approximately 25% of adults with GD meeting ADHD criteria⁶⁵. In particular, the hyperactive subtype of ADHD is a developmental disorder characterised by impulsivity that commences in childhood and is often observed in conduct disorder and antisocial personality disorder¹⁰. Indeed, as proposed by the pathways model of GD, the third subgroup of addicted gamblers, is differentiated by features of impulsivity and antisocial personality disorder and attention deficit¹⁶. In this vein, our group examined the association between ADHD symptomatology, emotion regulation and GD⁶⁶. It showed greater emotional dysregulation and GD severity among patients with GD who had ADHD symptoms. Hence, T allele of rs6489630 would be potentially associated with the presence of GD. Future research may consider underlying genetic features common to both ADHD and GD.

Single marker analysis has also shown a strong association between CNTFR rs3763614 variant and GD, with a heterozygous advantage model of inheritance. Although this model of inheritance has received little attention, up to 50% of all gene associations in psychiatric disorders have been shown to occur under a heterozygous advantage^{67,68}.

At a molecular level, CNTF (i.e. CTNFR ligand) showed to have an important effect on appetite and energy expenditure^{69,70}. This leptin-like molecule activates signalling cascades in the hypothalamic nuclei involved in feeding control⁷¹⁻⁷³. Our findings, in line with previous studies, suggest the existence of a common genetic pathway that could validate the NTF hypothesis role in some disorders related to impulsivity⁵⁰.

Both single and multiple-marker analysis also showed a strong association between NTRK2 and GD, specifically with two genetic variants, namely rs11140783 and rs3739570. Moreover, a haplotype block was manually defined for these two SNPs, showing a significant association of haplotype CC with the risk of GD. As several studies have shown that both BDNF and NTRK2 (i.e., BDNF receptor) are involved in the regulation of eating behaviours and energy balance^{74,75}, it is not surprising that this receptor appears to be associated with GD. Engagingly, NTRK2 can also alternatively bind NTF3, also showing to be significantly associated with GD. Although this factor is less efficient in activating NTRK2, it appears to regulate neuron survival through this receptor^{76,77}. Again, these results support the idea of a common genomic pathway that may help to explain the aetiopathogenesis of impulse control disorders, such as EDs and GD.

The analysis of the 3D structure of chromatin inside the nucleus has revealed the existence of hierarchical chromatin organization; compartments, topologically associating domains (TADs), sub-TADs, insulated domains and chromatin loops. The importance of the spatial organization of DNA for transcriptional regulation is now widely accepted^{78–80}. We used publicly available Hi-C data to explore spatial proximity of our distant genetic variants. At an intragenic level, we found a slight interaction between variants rs6332, rs6489630 and rs7956189 located in the NTF3 gene. Similarly, rs3739570 and rs10780695 on the NTRK2 gene could be also interacting in the nucleus. Although phenotypic consequences of the interactions' disruption and domains emerging from Hi-C experiments are still controversial, several works showed extremely subtle changes in contact frequencies associated with large differences in gene expression⁸¹. Whether this could be the case for the NTFs loci discussed here remains to be addressed.

A couple of final considerations on Hi-C data would be that it was obtained from human embryonic stem cells (hESC), highlighting the importance of these interactions before the neuronal lineage is specified. Moreover, as

	Control (n=191)		GD (<i>n</i> =166)				GD (<i>n</i> =	= 166)		
Sociodemographic variables	n	%	n	%	p	GD related variables	Mean	SD		
Sex										
Women	113	59.2%	14	8.4%	< 0.001	Age of onset of GD	33.8	11.6		
Men	78	40.8%	152	91.6%		Duration of GD	13.6	8.3		
Education										
Primary	41	21.5%	120	72.3%	< 0.001	DSM-5 criteria for GD	7.2	2.1		
Secondary	35	18.3%	39	23.5%		Gambling activities	n	%		
University	115	60.2%	7	4.2%		Slot-machines	149	89.8%		
Employment										
Unemployed	6	3.1%	48	28.9%	< 0.001	Bingo	35	21.1%		
Employed	185	96.9%	118	71.1%		Lotteries	13	7.8%		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Casino	17	10.2%		
Age (years-old)	42.4	11.7	39.9	12.4	0.049	Cards	11	6.6%		

Table 2. Description of the samples. SD standard deviation.

chromatin contacts are dynamic, we can expect a different scenario when analysing it in tissues more relevant to GD such as the neuronal lineages. Nevertheless, the data gathered here strongly suggests the existence of a possible relationship between genetic and epigenetic mechanisms implicated in GD aetiopathogenesis.

Taken all together, our findings suggest the involvement of different members of the NTF signalling pathway, and support the possibility that GD could be the result of an altered cross-regulation of the different NTFs, as was previously proposed by Mercader et al.⁵⁰ regarding EDs. Indeed, a considerable crosstalk among intracellular signaling pathways of NTFs has been previously reported⁸². So, genetic variants affecting the expression of several NTF genes may alter biochemical interactions between ligands, their receptors and their intracellular target proteins, that eventually alter neuronal functions. Moreover, results obtained in the present work strongly support the existence of common biological mechanisms underlying several psychiatric disorders, which reinforce the idea of shared core transdiagnostic features, such as impulsivity. This is in agreement with the three pathways model of GD proposed by Blaszczynski and Nower¹⁶, that integrates the complex array of biological, psychological and sociodemographic determinants of GD. However, further studies are needed to better understand the exact role of NTFs, and its implication in specific psychological processes.

The presence of a control group and the wide genetic analysis of the NTF genes variants are highlighted as part of the strength of this work. Nevertheless, this study should be also seen considering some limitations, such as bias due to the self-reported data in the clinical assessment or a modest sample size. We have computed statistical power for the comparison of proportions for a total sample size of N = 357 with a n-ratio equal to 191/166 = 1.15, an alpha value equal to a = 0.05 and different values of delta differences (d): (a) for identifying values of d = 0.15(differences of at least 15%), the power is 0.8182 (above the cut-off usually recommended as a reasonable balance between Type I and Type II errors for research studies; (b) for identifying values of d = 0.10 (differences of at least 10%), the power is decreased to 0.4722. Therefore, some of the comparisons of this work were underpowered, and effects of practical importance could be not detected. Finally, in future studies, including larger samples and the functional impact of selective genetic variants on the GD aetiopathology should be evaluated.

In conclusion, the present study suggests the involvement of different NTF and its receptors in GD aetiopathogenesis. Moreover, it proposes the possibility that genetic predisposition to GD may be the result of an altered cross-regulation of different members of the NTF signalling pathway. Our findings provide further evidence regarding the existence of an interesting genetic and epigenetic pathway that could validate the NTF hypothesis role in the development of psychiatric disorders^{83,84}.

Methods

Participants. Entry into the study was between January 2005 and June 2006. The sample included a clinical group of n = 166 patients with GD consecutively admitted to the Outpatient GD Unit in the Department of Psychiatry at the University Hospital of Bellvitge. All the subjects of this group fulfilled the DSM-IV criteria for GD as determined by a face-to-face semi-structured clinical interview (SCID-I)^{85,86}. The control group included n = 191 participants, recruited via advertisement in the catchment area. Table 2 includes groups description.

Clinical assessment and procedure. Diagnostic Questionnaire for Pathological Gambling According to DSM criteria⁸⁷: a 19 items self-report questionnaire used for diagnosing GD according to DSM-IV-TR⁸⁶. The Spanish version of the questionnaire obtained satisfactory psychometric properties (Cronbach's alpha α =227 0.81 for a population-based sample and α =0.77 for a clinical sample⁸⁸. Additional socio-demographic and clinical information (e.g. age of onset, duration of GD) were also assessed using a semi-structured face-to-face clinical interview described elsewhere⁸⁹.

SNP genotyping. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of several NTF genes [nerve growth factor (NGF) gene and its receptor (NGFR), neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 1 (NTRK1), type 2 (NTRK2) and type 3 (NTRK3), BDNF, NTF3, NTF4, ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), and its receptor (CNTFR)] were selected and genotyped as previously described by Mercader, Saus et al.⁵⁰. Only SNPs were considered for further analysis, as they have a unique mapping location on the NCBI B34 assembly and a minor allele frequency (MAF) higher than 10%. Bins of common SNPs in strong LD, as defined by R2 higher than 0.85, were identified within this data set by using HapMap-LDSelect-Processor, which uses the 'LD Select' method to process HapMap genotype dump format data corresponding to the locus region for each gene, covering the entire gene and 10 kb upstream and downstream the gene. One hundred and eighty-three TagSNPs were selected for coverage of all bins for a total of 10 genes.

Next, Tag-SNPs were genotyped using the SNPlex Genotyping System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) at the genotyping facilities of CeGen, in the Barcelona Node (Centro Nacional de Genotipado, Genoma España). To examine the reliability of the new genotyping and the coincidence of genotype calls between the two time-independent projects, the new batch included the same DNA control samples used in Mercader, Saus et al.⁵⁰ namely six samples corresponding to two HapMap reference trios: samples NA10860, NA10861, NA11992, NA11993, NA11994 and NA11995 (family numbers CEPH131 and CEPH132). Both genotype concordance and correct Mendelian inheritance of these samples in the two different batches was verified using SNPator (http://www.cegen.org). Of the whole available sample, genotyped SNPs, which had a call rate lower than 80%, were outside Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), or were monomorphic and not considered for the analyses (n = 25).

Statistical analyses. Haploview4.2 for Windows⁹⁰ was used to analyse the LD and haplotype construction, as well as the risk association between the SNPs (single markers) and the haplotypes with GD diagnosis. In this study, the default parameters of the program were used: cut-off equal to 0.001 for the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test (HWE), minimum genotype 75% and minimum minor allele frequency equal to 0.001. The linkage case–control format was defined for the data file, and the haplotype block recognition was based on Gabriel's algorithm⁹¹, which uses the Hedrick's multiallelic *D*' to measure the degree of LD between the blocks (considering each haplotype within a block as an "allele" of that region) (the coefficient *D*' goes into the range – 1 to +1: D'=0 indicates that the two markers are independent [perfect equilibrium] and D'=1 indicates that no more than 3 of the 4 possible haplotypes are being observed in the sample [complete disequilibrium]).

Stata16 for Windows was used to perform other statistical analysis. T-test procedures compared means of data, and chi-square test (χ^2) and logistic regressions compared proportions of data and assessed the discriminative capacity of the SNPs to identify the presence of GD. Due to the multiple null-hypothesis tests, increase in Type-I error was controlled with Simes' correction method, based on the ordered *p*-values, less conservative than the classical Bonferroni procedure and particularly useful when several correlated test statistics are involved⁹².

Exploratory functional element analysis. The identification of regulatory elements overlapping the identified SNPs variants associated to GD was performed using SCREEN, a web interface for searching and visualizing the Registry of candidate cis-Regulatory Elements (cCREs) derived from ENCODE data⁹³.

The putative effect of variants analysed on TF binding motifs were computationally analysed via JASPAR. It is the largest open access database of matrix-based nucleotide profiles describing the binding preference of transcription factors from multiple species. JASPAR CORE database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/, version 5.0) contains a curated and non-redundant set of profiles derived from published collections of experimentally defined transcription factor binding sites for eukaryotes⁹⁴. Here, we used the UCSC Genome Browser Track Hub that represents genome-wide predicted binding sites for TF binding profiles in the JASPAR database CORE collection. We then assessed whether the SNPs analysed were overlapping core consensus TF binding sequences and as such, they could potentially disrupt the binding of transcription factors or change their binding affinity. Only human matrix models were selected, and motifs with JASPAR score 5 were listed.

Finally, UCSC Genome Browser Hi-C and Micro-C Track Search feature (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) was used to explore potential interactions of heatmaps of chromatin folding between our candidate genetic variants. A high score between two regions suggests that they probably interact, or they are in proximity in 3D space within the nucleus of a cell. In the track display, this is shown by a more intense colour in the heatmap, or by an arch.

Ethics. The study procedures were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The University Hospital of Bellvitge Ethics Committee of Clinical Research approved the study (reference: 307/06). All the participants provided voluntary-signed informed consent and received no financial remuneration. Psychometric assessments were carried out by experienced psychologists and psychiatrists in the field of GD, at the Outpatient GD Unit.

Data availability

The genetic data analysed in the present project is part of a clinical database and cannot be shared publicly. In their informed consent, patients signed a document providing their genetic and clinical data to the hospital. For this reason, all this information is stored in a repository at the hospital's research center (datamanagement@ idibell.cat). However, it would be available upon contact with the corresponding author.

Received: 22 October 2021; Accepted: 7 April 2022 Published online: 28 April 2022

References

- 1. Gainsbury, S. Internet Gambling 1st edn. (Springer, 2012).
- 2. Potenza, M. N., Kosten, T. R. & Rounsaville, B. J. Pathological gambling. JAMA 286, 141 (2001).
- Sassen, M., Kraus, L. & Bühringer, G. Differences in pathological gambling prevalence estimates: facts or artefacts?. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res. 20, 1–10 (2011).
- 4. DGOJ. Dirección General de Ordenación del Juego. Memoria Anual 2015. (2015).
- Griffiths, M., Hayer, T. & Meyer, G. Problem Gambling in Europe: Challenges, Prevention, and Interventions (Springer, 2009). https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09486-1.
- Planzer, S., Gray, H. M. & Shaffer, H. J. Associations between national gambling policies and disordered gambling prevalence rates within Europe. Int. J. Law Psychiatry 37, 217–229 (2014).
- APA. DSM-III: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). https://doi.org/ 10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596.
- 8. Potenza, M. N. Should addictive disorders include non-substance-related conditions?. Addiction 101, 142-151 (2006).
 - Potenza, M. N., Koran, L. M. & Pallanti, S. The relationship between impulse-control disorders and obsessive-compulsive disorder: A current understanding and future research directions. *Psychiatry Res.* 170, 22–31 (2009).
- 10. APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).
- 11. Fauth-Bühler, M., Mann, K. & Potenza, M. N. Pathological gambling: A review of the neurobiological evidence relevant for its classification as an addictive disorder. *Addict. Biol.* 22, 885–897 (2017).
- 12. Dowling, N. A. *et al.* Early risk and protective factors for problem gambling: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 51, 109–124 (2017).
- 13. Potenza, M. N. et al. Gambling disorder. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 5, 51 (2019).
- 14. Sharpe, L. & Tarrier, N. Towards a cognitive-behavioural theory of problem gambling. Br. J. Psychiatry 162, 407-412 (1993).
- 15. Sharpe, L. A reformulated cognitive-behavioral model of problem gambling. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 22, 1–25 (2002).
- 16. Blaszczynski, A. & Nower, L. A pathways model of problem and pathological gambling. Addiction 97, 487-499 (2002).
- 17. Valleur, M. et al. Towards a validation of the three pathways model of pathological gambling. J. Gambl. Stud. 32, 757-771 (2016).
- Leeman, R. F. & Potenza, M. N. A targeted review of the neurobiology and genetics of behavioural addictions: An emerging area of research. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 58, 260–273 (2013).
- Olsen, V. V., Lugo, R. G., Sütterlin, S., Olsen, V. V. & Lugo, R. G. The somatic marker theory in the context of addiction: Contributions to understanding development and maintenance. *Psychol. Res. Behav. Manag.* 8, 187 (2015).
- Koehler, S., Hasselmann, E., Wüstenberg, T., Heinz, A. & Romanczuk-Seiferth, N. Higher volume of ventral striatum and right prefrontal cortex in pathological gambling. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 220, 469–477 (2015).
- Contreras-Rodríguez, O. *et al.* Cocaine-specific neuroplasticity in the ventral striatum network is linked to delay discounting and drug relapse. *Addiction* 110, 1953–1962 (2015).
- 22. Clark, L. et al. Striatal dopamine D2/D3 receptor binding in pathological gambling is correlated with mood-related impulsivity. *Neuroimage* 63, 40–46 (2012).
- Charbogne, P. et al. Mu opioid receptors in gamma-aminobutyric acidergic forebrain neurons moderate motivation for heroin and palatable food. Biol. Psychiatry 81, 778–788 (2017).
- Boileau, I. et al. In vivo evidence for greater amphetamine-induced dopamine release in pathological gambling: A positron emission tomography study with [11C]-(+)-PHNO. Mol. Psychiatry 19, 1305–1313 (2014).
- Lobo, D. S. S. & Kennedy, J. L. Genetic aspects of pathological gambling: A complex disorder with shared genetic vulnerabilities. Addiction 104, 1454–1465 (2009).
- 26. Tak, Y. G. & Farnham, P. J. Making sense of GWAS: Using epigenomics and genome engineering to understand the functional relevance of SNPs in non-coding regions of the human genome. *Epigenet. Chrom.* **8**, 57 (2015).
- 27. Lind, P. A. et al. Genome-wide association study of a quantitative disordered gambling trait. Addict. Biol. 18, 511-522 (2013).
- 28. Lang, M. et al. Genome-wide association study of pathological gambling. Eur. Psychiatry 36, 38-46 (2016).
- Ihle, J. et al. Parkinson's disease polygenic risk score is not associated with impulse control disorders: A longitudinal study. Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 75, 30–33 (2020).
- 30. Koskela, M. *et al.* Update of neurotrophic factors in neurobiology of addiction and future directions. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **97**, 189–200 (2017).
- 31. Castrén, E. Neurotrophins and psychiatric disorders. Handb. Exp. Pharmacol. 220, 461-479 (2014).
- 32. Gratacòs, M. et al. Role of the neurotrophin network in eating disorders' subphenotypes: Body mass index and age at onset of the disease. J. Psychiatr. Res. 44, 834–840 (2010).
- Mercader, J. M. et al. Blood levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor correlate with several psychopathological symptoms in anorexia nervosa patients. *Neuropsychobiology* 56, 185–190 (2007).
- 34. Schor, N. F. The p75 neurotrophin receptor in human development and disease. Prog. Neurobiol. 77, 201-214 (2005).
- 35. Lu, B., Pang, P. T. & Woo, N. H. The yin and yang of neurotrophin action. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 603–614 (2005).
- Angelucci, F. *et al.* Enhanced BDNF serum levels in patients with severe pathological gambling. *Addict. Biol.* 18, 749–751 (2013).
 Choi, S.-W.W. *et al.* Serum BDNF levels in patients with gambling disorder are associated with the severity of gambling disorder and Iowa Gambling Task indices. *J. Behav. Addict.* 5, 135–139 (2016).
- Geisel, O., Banas, R., Hellweg, R. & Müller, C. A. altered serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in patients with pathological gambling. *Eur. Addict. Res.* 18, 297–301 (2012).
- Kim, H. S., von Ranson, K. M., Hodgins, D. C., McGrath, D. S. & Tavares, H. Demographic, psychiatric, and personality correlates of adults seeking treatment for disordered gambling with a comorbid binge/purge type eating disorder. *Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev.* 26, 508–518 (2018).
- Chorev, M., Joseph Bekker, A., Goldberger, J. & Carmel, L. Identification of introns harboring functional sequence elements through positional conservation. Sci. Rep. 7, 4201 (2017).
- Ji, F., Wang, W., Feng, C., Gao, F. & Jiao, J. Brain-specific Wt1 deletion leads to depressive-like behaviors in mice via the recruitment of Tet2 to modulate Epo expression. *Mol. Psychiatry* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0759-8 (2020).
- Atkin, S. D. et al. Nuclear receptor LRH-1 induces the reproductive neuropeptide kisspeptin in the hypothalamus. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 27, 598–605 (2013).
- Yoshida, Y. *et al.* The transcription factor IRF8 activates integrin-mediated tgf-β signaling and promotes neuroinflammation. *Immunity* 40, 187–198 (2014).
- Di Salvio, M., Di Giovannantonio, L. G., Omodei, D., Acampora, D. & Simeone, A. Otx2 expression is restricted to dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area in the adult brain. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 54, 939–945 (2010).
- 45. Comings, D. E. *et al.* A study of the dopamine D2 receptor gene in pathological gambling. *Pharmacogenetics* **6**, 223–234 (1996).
- 46. Ayalew, M. et al. Convergent functional genomics of schizophrenia: From comprehensive understanding to genetic risk prediction. Mol. Psychiatry 17, 887–905 (2012).
- Ernfors, P. Local and target-derived actions of neurotrophins during peripheral nervous system development. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 58, 1036–1044 (2001).

- 48. Otsuki, K. *et al.* Altered expression of neurotrophic factors in patients with major depression. J. Psychiatr. Res. 42, 1145–1153 (2008).
- Arabska, J., Łucka, A., Strzelecki, D. & Wysokiński, A. In schizophrenia serum level of neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) is increased only if depressive symptoms are present. *Neurosci. Lett.* 684, 152–155 (2018).
- 50. Mercader, J. M. et al. Association of NTRK3 and its interaction with NGF suggest an altered cross-regulation of the neurotrophin signaling pathway in eating disorders. Hum. Mol. Genet. 17, 1234–1244 (2008).
- Lee, J., Seroogy, K. B. & Mattson, M. P. Dietary restriction enhances neurotrophin expression and neurogenesis in the hippocampus of adult mice. J. Neurochem. 80, 539–547 (2002).
- 52. Jiménez-Murcia, S. *et al.* Pathological gambling in eating disorders: Prevalence and clinical implications. *Compr. Psychiatry* 54, 1053–1060 (2013).
- von Ranson, K. M., Wallace, L. M., Holub, A. & Hodgins, D. C. Eating disorders, substance use disorders, and impulsiveness among disordered gamblers in a community sample. *Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev.* 21, 148–154 (2013).
- Álvarez-Moya, E. M. *et al.* Comparison of personality risk factors in bulimia nervosa and pathological gambling. *Compr. Psychiatry* 48, 452–457 (2007).
- 55. del Pino-Gutiérrez, A. *et al.* The relevance of personality traits in impulsivity-related disorders: From substance use disorders and gambling disorder to bulimia nervosa. *J. Behav. Addict.* **6**, 396–405 (2017).
- Jiménez-Murcia, S. et al. Differences and similarities between bulimia nervosa, compulsive buying and gambling disorder. Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev. 23, 111–118 (2015).
- Sasaki-Iwaoka, H. et al. A trans-acting enhancer modulates estrogen-mediated transcription of reporter genes in osteoblasts. J. Bone Miner. Res. 14, 248–255 (1999).
- Müller, H.-P., Sogo, J. & Schaffner, W. An enhancer stimulates transcription in Trans when attached to the promoter via a protein bridge. Cell 58, 767–777 (1989).
- 59. Corradin, O. & Scacheri, P. C. Enhancer variants: Evaluating functions in common disease. Genome Med. 6, 85 (2014).
- Johnston, A. D., Simões-Pires, C. A., Thompson, T. V., Suzuki, M. & Greally, J. M. Functional genetic variants can mediate their regulatory effects through alteration of transcription factor binding. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 3472 (2019).
- Cho, S. C. et al. Neurotrophin-3 gene, intelligence, and selective attention deficit in a Korean sample with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 34, 1065–1069 (2010).
- Liu, M. et al. Polymorphisms of the neurotrophic factor-3 (NTF-3) in Alzheimer's disease: rs6332 associated with onset time and rs6489630 T allele exhibited a protective role. J. Neurogenet. 29, 183–187 (2015).
- 63. Fatséas, M. *et al.* Addiction severity pattern associated with adult and childhood Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in patients with addictions. *Psychiatry Res.* 246, 656–662 (2016).
- 64. Retz, W., Ringling, J., Retz-Junginger, P., Vogelgesang, M. & Rösler, M. Association of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with gambling disorder. J. Neural Transm. 123, 1013–1019 (2016).
- Reid, R. C., Campos, M., Selochan, N. & Fong, T. W. Characteristics of treatment seeking problem gamblers with adult ADHD. Int. J. Ment. Health Addict. 18, 875–890 (2018).
- Mestre-Bach, G. et al. The role of ADHD symptomatology and emotion dysregulation in gambling disorder. J. Atten. Disord. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1087054719894378 (2019).
- 67. Comings, D. E. Molecular heterosis as the explanation for the controversy about the effect of the DRD2 gene on dopamine D2 receptor density. *Mol. Psychiatry* **4**, 213–215 (1999).
- 68. Comings, D. E. & MacMurray, J. P. Molecular heterosis: A review. Mol. Genet. Metab. 71, 19-31 (2000).
- 69. Sleeman, M. W. et al. Ciliary neurotrophic factor improves diabetic parameters and hepatic steatosis and increases basal metabolic rate in db/db mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100, 14297–14302 (2003).
- 70. Kokoeva, M. V., Yin, H. & Flier, J. S. Neurogenesis in the hypothalamus of adult mice: Potential role in energy balance. *Science* **310**, 679–683 (2005).
- Lambert, P. D. et al. Ciliary neurotrophic factor activates leptin-like pathways and reduces body fat, without cachexia or rebound weight gain, even in leptin-resistant obesity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 4652–4657 (2001).
- Martin, D. *et al.* Cachectic effect of ciliary neurotrophic factor on innervated skeletal muscle. *Am. J. Physiol. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 271, R1422–R1428 (1996).
- Xu, B. et al. Anorectic effects of the cytokine, ciliary neurotropic factor, are mediated by hypothalamic neuropeptide Y: Comparison with leptin*. Endocrinology 139, 466–473 (1998).
- 74. Kernie, S. G. BDNF regulates eating behavior and locomotor activity in mice. EMBO J. 19, 1290–1300 (2000).
- 75. Xu, B. *et al.* Brain-derived neurotrophic factor regulates energy balance downstream of melanocortin-4 receptor. *Nat. Neurosci.* **6**, 736–742 (2003).
- Haniu, M. et al. Extracellular domain of neurotrophin receptor trkB: Disulfide structure, N-glycosylation sites, and ligand binding. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 322, 256–264 (1995).
- Yeo, G. S. H. et al. A de novo mutation affecting human TrkB associated with severe obesity and developmental delay. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 1187–1189 (2004).
- Schmitt, A. D., Hu, M. & Ren, B. Genome-wide mapping and analysis of chromosome architecture. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 743–755 (2016).
- 79. Forcato, M. et al. Comparison of computational methods for Hi-C data analysis. Nat. Methods 14, 679-685 (2017).
- Denker, A. & de Laat, W. The second decade of 3C technologies: Detailed insights into nuclear organization. Genes Dev. 30, 1357–1382 (2016).
- Greenwald, W. W. et al. Subtle changes in chromatin loop contact propensity are associated with differential gene regulation and expression. Nat. Commun. 10, 1–17 (2019).
- Russo, S. J., Mazei-Robison, M. S., Ables, J. L. & Nestler, E. J. Neurotrophic factors and structural plasticity in addiction. *Neuropharmacology* 56, 73–82 (2009).
- Lang, U. E., Jockers-Scherubl, M. C. & Hellweg, R. State of the art of the neurotrophin hypothesis in psychiatric disorders: Implications and limitations. J. Neural Transm. 111, 387–411 (2004).
- 84. Chao, M. V., Rajagopal, R. & Lee, F. S. Neurotrophin signalling in health and disease. Clin. Sci. 110, 167-173 (2006).
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. & Gibbon, M. Entrevista Clínica Estructurada para los trastornos del Eje I del DSM-IV, Versión CLÍNICA (SCID-I-VC) (Masson, 1997).
- 86. American Psychiatric association (APA). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* 4th edn. (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).
- Stinchfield, R. Reliability, validity, and classification accuracy of a measure of DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for pathological gambling. Am. J. Psychiatry 160, 180–182 (2003).
- Jiménez-Murcia, S. et al. Reliability, validity, and classification accuracy of a spanish translation of a measure of DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for pathological gambling. J. Gambl. Stud. 25, 93–104 (2009).
- Jiménez-Murcia, S., Aymamí-Sanromà, M., Gómez-Pena, M., Álvarez-Moya, E. & Vallejo, J. Protocols of Cognitive-Behaviour Therapy for Pathological Gambling and Other Behavioural Addictions (Springer, 2006).
- Barrett, J. C., Fry, B., Maller, J. & Daly, M. J. Haploview: Analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 21, 263–265 (2005).

- 91. Gabriel, S. B. et al. The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. Science 296, 2225-2229 (2002).
- 92. Simes, R. J. An improved Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. Biometrika 73, 751-754 (1986).
- 93. Moore, J. E. et al. Expanded encyclopaedias of DNA elements in the human and mouse genomes. Nature 583, 699-710 (2020).
 - 94. Mathelier, A. *et al.* JASPAR 2014: an extensively expanded and updated open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D142–D147 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya guaranteed institutional support. We also thank the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades and the Delegación del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), CIBERobn (an iniciative of ISCIII) and FEDER funds/European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), a way to build Europe.

Author contribution

N.S-.M., I.B., M.E., F.F.-A. and S.J.-M. contributed to the development of the study concept and design. R.G. performed the statistical analysis. N.S.-M., I.B., M.E., M.G., C.B., and M.G.-P. aided with data collection. N.S.-M., I.B., M.E., S.V.F., N.R., P.G., F.F.-A. and S.J.-M. aided with interpretation of data and the writing of the manuscript. S.V.-F, M.G., C.B., N.R., P.G., J.M., F.F.-A., and S.J.-M. revised the manuscript and provided substantial comments. F.F.-A. and S.J.-M. obtained funding.

Funding

The present project has been founded by CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya guaranteed institutional support. In addition, the work was also supported by grants from the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (Grant RTI2018-101837-B-100) and the Delegación del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas (20211031 and 2019147), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) (PI17/01167 and PI20/00132) and co-funded by FEDER funds/European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), a way to build Europe. Additional support was received from EU Grant Eat2beNice (H2020-SFS-2016-2; Ref728018), PRIME (H2020-SC1-BHC-2018-2020, ref. 847879) and COST Action (CA19115). CIBERObn and CIBERsam are both initiatives of ISCIII. IB was partially supported by a Post-Residency Grant from the Research Committee of the University Hospital of Bellvitge (HUB; Barcelona, Spain) 2020–2021. RG was supported by the Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA-Academia, 2021-Programme).

Competing interests

FFA received consultancy honoraria from Novo Nordisk and editorial honoraria as EIC from Wiley. The rest of the authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-10391-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.J.-M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022